

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

In re  
MANUEL GALVEZ,  
Debtor

No. 06-5294 MMC

Bankruptcy No. 06-30278 DM7  
Adversary Proceeding No. 06-3107 DM

MANUEL GALVEZ,  
Plaintiff

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT BANK  
OF AMERICA CORPORATION'S  
MOTION FOR LIMITED WITHDRAWAL  
OF BANKRUPTCY REFERENCE;  
DIRECTIONS TO CLERK**

v.  
BANK OF AMERICA, et al.,  
Defendants

1

21 Before the Court is defendant Bank of America Corporation's ("Bank of America")  
22 motion, filed July 24, 2006 in the Bankruptcy Court, for withdrawal of the bankruptcy  
23 reference as it pertains to the above-referenced adversary proceeding. Plaintiff/debtor  
24 Manuel S. Galvez ("Galvez") filed, in the Bankruptcy Court, a statement of non-opposition.  
25 The Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court subsequently forwarded the matter to the District Court.  
26 See B.L.R. 5011-2(a). Having considered the papers filed in support of the motion, the  
27 Court rules as follows.

28 In the instant adversary proceeding, Galvez alleges two claims for relief. First, he

1 alleges defendants violated the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, see 11  
2 U.S.C. § 362, and, second, he alleges defendants have engaged in debt collection  
3 practices that violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”), see 15 U.S.C.  
4 §§ 1692-1692o, as well as state law.

5 Bank of America moves to withdraw the reference as to such proceeding, pursuant  
6 to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d), which provides, in part, as follows:

7 The district court shall, on timely motion of a party, so withdraw a proceeding  
8 if the court determines that resolution of the proceeding requires  
9 consideration of both title 11 and other laws of the United States regulating  
organizations or activities affecting interstate commerce.

10 See 28 U.S.C. § 157(d). This provision “mandates withdrawal in cases requiring material  
11 consideration of non-bankruptcy federal law.” See Security Farms v. Int’l Bhd. of  
12 Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen & Helpers, 124 F. 3d 999, 1008 (9th Cir. 1997)  
13 (emphasis in original). Here, Galvez’s second claim will require material consideration of  
14 the FDCPA, a statute regulating an activity that affects interstate commerce, specifically,  
15 debt collection. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692(d) (providing “abusive debt collection practices . . .  
16 directly affect interstate commerce”). Consequently, withdrawal is mandatory. See Security  
17 Farms, 124 F. 3d at 1008.

18 Accordingly, the reference will be withdrawn.

## 19 CONCLUSION

20 For the reasons stated:

- 21 1. The motion to withdraw the reference is hereby GRANTED.  
22 2. The Clerk of the District Court shall notify the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court that  
23 the reference of the above-titled adversary proceeding has been withdrawn and direct the  
24 Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court to transmit the file forthwith to the Clerk of the District Court.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: September 29, 2006

  
27 MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge

28